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Preliminary genome-wide association study for wet-dry phenotype in smallholder ovine populations in South Africa
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with genomic region underlying variation in the binomial reproductive trait ‘wet-dry’ in sheep. The wet-dry phenotype was used to represent the reproductive status of the ewes, divided into two categories, dry (ewes that did not lamb or that lost a lamb) and wet (ewes that had lambed and had at least one suckling lamb). Wet-dry records were obtained from smallholder farmers (n = 176) and Nortier Research Farm (n = 131) for the 2014 breeding season. Ages of the ewes ranged from 1 year to 6+ years. Data from 307 individuals were analysed, of which 172 Dorpers and 4 White Dorpers were from smallholder sheep flocks and 48 Dorpers, 46 Namaqua Afrikaners, 26 South African Mutton Merinos, 4 South African Mutton Merino x Dorper and 7 Dorper x South African Mutton Merino crossbreds were from the research farm. A logistic regression model was fitted to adjust the data for the fixed effects of farm, breed, and age of the ewe and weight at mating as a covariate. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) and inbreeding coefficient were estimated using PLINK. Association analysis was performed using the genome-wide efficient mixed-model association package (GEMMA) to determine whether any significant SNPs were associated with the wet-dry reproductive trait. The wet-dry phenotype differed significantly between the smallholder (0.63 ± 0.04) and research farm flocks (0.79 ± 0.04). Genome-wide LD across all populations was $r^2 = 0.36$. Dorpers from the smallholder flock exhibited rapid LD decay versus the resource ovine populations. Inbreeding levels were also lower for the smallholder flock (4 ± 0.003%) versus the research flock (13 ± 0.008%). No significant SNPs were identified after correction for false discovery rate. The heritability estimate for wet-dry using SNP information was 0.24. This estimate concurs with the literature and indicates the possibility of using genomic selection to improve reproduction in smallholder sheep flocks.
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Smallholder sheep farmers in South Africa have been reported to have flocks with low reproductive performance (Marais, 2007; Grobler, 2010). This may be owing to many factors, including nutrition, management and genetics. Genetic selection plays an important role in establishing permanent change that is sustainable for the long term in improving traits related to fitness. Fitness traits are linked to the reproduction of animals and can be measured with various indicator traits. Reproduction can be measured by composite traits such as number of lambs born per ewe lifetime or the number of lambs weaned per ewe lifetime, or by components traits such as litter size, fertility, conception rate and mothering ability (Zishiri et al., 2013). Quantifying and measuring fitness traits in smallholder production systems can be challenging owing to a lack of recordkeeping. It is therefore necessary to use easy-to-measure indicator traits that involve minimal recordkeeping and input costs.
The wet-dry phenotype (Fourie & Cloete, 1993) is recorded from an udder examination performed during the marking of recently born lambs or at the weaning of lambs. Wet-dry refers to whether a ewe is lactating or not, and can be used as an indicator of reproductive performance of ewes in low-input farming systems. The wet-dry phenotype is a composite trait and includes conception rate and mothering ability. Heritabilities have yet to be estimated for this trait in South Africa. Heritability estimates for the component conception rate/fertility traits range from 0.01 to 0.30 (Iniguez et al., 1986; Tosh et al., 2002; Vatakphanah et al., 2006; Pwczynski & Kowalszyn, 2013). The underlying genetic regulation of reproduction has not been fully elucidated. However, some genes that influence fecundity and oestrous cycle in sheep have been identified. Genes affecting litter size in sheep include the BMPR1B/FecB mutation on chromosome 6, GDF9 on chromosome 5 and BMP15 on the X chromosome (Montgomery et al., 2001; Souza et al., 2001; Davis, 2004; Juengel et al., 2004; McNatty et al., 2005; Polley et al., 2010). Genes influencing the oestrous cycle include PGFS (AKR1BS/AKR1C3), PGES, PGFR, and PTGS2 (Kumar et al., 2013). Genotyping using SNPs makes it possible to investigate population structure and establish pedigree relationships among animals. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) in a population is important to consider for genome-wide association studies (GWAS). This is because LD is the ability of an allele from one marker to predict the allelic status of another marker (Meadows et al., 2008). A preliminary genome-wide association study was conducted to investigate whether the wet-dry phenotype was influenced by variation in any of these genes. If indications of associations were found, then these genes could be further investigated to identify variants that could be used in marker-assisted selected programmes to improve reproduction in smallholder farming operations.

The aim of this study therefore was to identify SNPs associated with quantitative trait loci (QTL) underlying variation in the binomial wet-dry reproductive trait.

The sites of sample collection were Nortier Research Farm and the Ebenheaser smallholder community in Western Cape, South Africa. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Departmental Ethics Committee for Research on Animals (DECRA), namely approval numbers R12/53 for smallholder flocks and R14/100 for the experimental flock from the Western Cape Department of Agriculture. Nortier is located at 32° 5′ 32.0833″ S, 18° 18′ 18.3000″ E. Ebenheaser is located at 31° 35′ 8.5856″ S, 18° 14′ 39.2442″ E. These farms are located in the West Coast district and are classified under the Succulent Karoo Biome, described by Acocks (1988). The succulent Karoo consists mainly of succulent plants and is a winter rainfall area. The altitude ranges from sea level to 1500 m and the mean annual rainfall is between 20 and 350 mm. A winter lambing season was implemented, and wet-dry data were collected after the lambing season in July–August 2014. The wet-dry phenotype was used to represent the reproductive status of the ewes. The ewes were divided into two categories: dry (ewes that did not lamb, or that lost all their lamb(s) and were thus not lactating) and wet (ewes that had lambed and were suckling one or more lambs). Wet-dry records were obtained from smallholders (n = 176) and the resource flock at Nortier Research Farm (n = 131) for the 2014 breeding season. The ages of the ewes ranged from 1 year to 6+ years. A logistic regression model was fitted in SAS (2012) to adjust the phenotypic data for the fixed effects of farm, breed, age of the ewe and mating weight as a covariate. The sheep sampled from the smallholder farms were identified in a fastSTRUCTURE analysis (Raj et al., 2014) as being 172 Dorpers (Dorpersm) and 4 White Dorpers. The sheep sampled from the research farm were identified as 48 Dorpers, 46Namaqua Afrikaners (Namafr), 26 South African Mutton Merinos (Samm), 4 South African Mutton Merino x Dorper (SAMMDX) and 7 Dorper x South African Mutton Merino (DSAMMMX) crossbreds.

The DNA samples were genotyped using the Ovine 50K SNP bead chip. Genotypic data were analysed using PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007). Data for 307 individuals were analysed. Quality control was conducted by setting thresholds for minor allele frequency at 5%, Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium at $P < 0.001$, and genotype call rate per animal at 95%. The data were pruned according to these criteria and 43 500 SNPs were retained and used in the analyses. Linkage disequilibrium and inbreeding coefficient were calculated with PLINK. Association analysis was performed using the genome-wide efficient mixed-model association package (GEMMA) (Zhou & Stephens, 2012), to determine whether any significant large-effect SNPs were associated with the wet-dry reproductive trait.

Breed proportions were fit as covariates, and the farm of origin (smallholder or research farms) was fit as a covariate in the model for the genotypic data. The genetic similarity matrix was estimated using GEMMA. A univariate linear mixed model was fit in GEMMA for testing marker associations with wet-dry accounting for population stratification and pedigree structure. Chip heritability was estimated as the proportion of the phenotypic variance explained by genotypes.

The model used was:

$$y = W\alpha + x\beta + \mu + \varepsilon \quad \mu \sim MVN_n(0, \Lambda^{-1}K) \text{ and } \varepsilon \sim MVN_n(0, \tau^{-1}I_n)$$
Where: $y$ is an $n$-vector of binary trait values (1 for wet, 0 for dry) for the $n$ individuals

$W = (w_1, \ldots, w_c)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix of covariate values corresponding to the fixed effects and including a column of 1s

$\alpha = \text{an } n \times c \text{-vector of the corresponding fixed effect parameters including the intercept}$

$x = \text{n-vector of marker genotypes}$

$\beta = \text{effect size of the marker}$

$\mu = \text{n-vector of random residual additive genetic effects}$

$\epsilon = \text{is an } n \text{-vector of errors}$

$\lambda = \text{ratio of additive genetic to residual variance components}$

$K$ is a known $n \times n$ relatedness matrix

$I_n = \text{is an } n \times n \text{ identity matrix}$

$MVN_n = \text{the } n \text{-dimensional multivariate normal distribution}$

$R$ was used to plot the $-\log_{10}(P\text{-value})$ for each SNP genome-wide. Two methods were used to identify significant SNPs: i) using the $P$-value from the likelihood ratio test calculated using GEMMA; and ii) after adjusting the $P$-value to q-values using the q-value package in R (Storey & Tibshirani, 2003) to account for multiple testing. Identification of genes associated with significant SNPs was done using NCBI map viewer for Ovis Aries annotation release 102.

The genome-wide LD across all populations was $r^2 = 0.36$. The Dorpersm exhibited the most rapid LD decay with the Namafr breed achieving slower rates of decay (Figure 1). Average inbreeding was also estimated for the various breeds, namely 0.6% for the White Dorper, -7% for the DSAMMX, 1.2% for the SAMMDX, 3% for Dorpersm, 7% for the Dorper, 9% for the SAMM and 24% for the Namafr. Average inbreeding coefficient was $4 \pm 0.003\%$ for the smallholder flock and $13 \pm 0.008\%$ for the resource flock. Similar inbreeding coefficients and LD levels for LD pruned within each breed have been estimated for the Nortier resource flock, with the Namafr exhibiting the highest level of inbreeding and slow LD decay because of its low effective population size (Sandenbergh et al., 2015). The high negative inbreeding coefficient observed for the DSAMMX might be because these crossbred were F$_1$s and possess higher levels of heterozygosity. The Dorpers and White Dorper obtained from smallholder flocks exhibited low levels of inbreeding in comparison to the purebred Dorper, SAMM and Namafr obtained from the resource flocks. This could be due to the willingness of smallholder farmers to crossbreed. The inbreeding levels for the purebred Dorper and SAMM are in line with literature estimates (Sandenberg et al., 2015) and are as expected for close nucleus breeding schemes.

**Figure 1** Linkage disequilibrium ($r^2$) decay with LD pruning within each breed.

Farm significantly influenced the wet-dry phenotype. The proportion of wet ewes was significantly lower in the smallholder flocks ($0.63 \pm 0.04$) relative to the research farm flocks ($0.79 \pm 0.04$). These results are consistent with those in the literature, ranging from 0.72 in Merino ewes to 0.80 for SA Mutton Merino ewes in the Bredasdorp region (Fourie & Cloete, 1993). Heritability estimated with the SNP information was $h^2 = 0.24 \pm 0.14$ with the genetic variance component explained by the model as 0.14 and the environmental variance as 0.098. Lee et al. (2010) report heritability estimates of 0.09 to 0.17 for wet-dry at weaning and 0.04 to 0.11 for wet-dry at marking. The estimate of the current study falls in the range of heritability
estimates for conception rate (0.01 to 0.30) reported in the literature (Iniguez et al., 1986; Lee et al., 2009, Piwczynski & Kowalisyn, 2013). The variation observed in heritability estimates is probably because of different methods used for estimation, and different variance components between breeds and production environments.

The genome-wide association study (Figure 2) suggests that SNP rs428728584 at 14,286,396 bp on chromosome 20 might be associated with wet-dry ($P < 0.0005$, uncorrected for false discovery rate (FDR). However, after adjusting for multiple testing using a q-value at an false discovery rate (FDR) level of 5%, no SNPs were found to be significantly associated with wet-dry phenotype.

The high level of genome-wide LD, however, implies the possibility of identifying SNPs that form haplotype blocks that influence reproduction in sheep. Sandenbergh (2015) accordingly suggested that many loci of small to medium effect might influence the expression of reproduction traits in sheep. The different patterns of LD observed between the breeds implicate the number of markers that can be used for identification of QTL. The smallholder sheep had the shortest LD stretches and thus dense SNP marker panels should be used to identify significant SNPs. The genome-wide association analysis did not yield significant SNPs for wet-dry when a false discovery rate correction was applied to the SNP association $P$-values, which is because of the limited number of records available for this study.

The smallholder sheep flock exhibited low levels of inbreeding, which is promising for future genetic improvement in these populations. It is thus possible to use this approach to estimate additive genetic merit of animals in smallholder populations, allowing an opportunity for selection to improve genetic gain. Identifying causal variants related to the wet-dry phenotype, which is affordable and easy to measure in smallholder farming systems, could aid in improving the intensity of selection for this trait. This is the first study implicating the possible use of SNP data to investigate genetic structure in smallholder sheep populations in South Africa and to estimate a heritability estimate for wet-dry phenotype relevant to smallholder sheep farmers. The next step would be to improve the collection of phenotypic data and increase sample size for further genomic studies. The use of the Ovine SNP 600 K BeadChip or whole genome sequencing could also be of value for future studies.
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