

## Protein and starch digestion in steers fed feedlot diets differing in extent of protein degradation

H.H. Meissner\* and P.C. du Plessis<sup>1</sup>

Department of Livestock Science, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 0002 Republic of South Africa

Received 11 April 1991; revised 3 September 1991; accepted 26 March 1992

Twelve steers fistulated in the rumen and duodenum were allocated to one of three diets and fed 80 g DM/kg  $W^{0.75}$ /d. The diets consisted of about 80% maize meal, 11% cottonseed hulls and either 1.44% urea (Treatment A), 0.96% urea (Treatment B) or 0.47% urea plus 5.6% fish-meal (Treatment C) as protein supplements. Passage and apparent digestion of protein and starch in the digestive tract were studied using Co-EDTA and Na-dichromate as fluid and particulate markers, respectively. A higher ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) proportion of the dietary protein and starch was apparently digested post-duodenally in steers on Treatment C than in steers on Treatments A and B. Also, duodenal lysine flow was greatest with Treatment C. It is suggested that the supply of amino acids to the small intestine may be inadequate on diets with a UDP content of less than 35 to 40% of crude protein (Treatment C).

Twaalf osse toegerus met rumen- en duodenale fistels is toegeken aan een van drie diëte en is teen 80 g DM/kg  $W^{0.75}$ /d gevoer. Die diëte het uit ongeveer 80% meliemeel, 11% katoensaadpoe en onderskeidelik 1.44% ureum (Behandeling A), 0.96% ureum (Behandeling B) en 0.47% ureum plus 5.6% vismeel (Behandeling C) as proteïen-supplemente bestaan. Deurvloei en skynbare verteerbaarheid van proteïen en stysel in die spysverteringskanaal is bestudeer met behulp van onderskeidelik Co-EDTA en Na-dikromaas as vloeistof- en partikulêre merkers. Meer proteïen en stysel ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) is skynbaar ná die duodenum in osse op Behandeling C verteer as in osse op Behandeling A en B. Voorts was die vloei van lisien na die duodenum in osse op Behandeling C ook hoër. Die afleiding is gemaak dat aminosuurvoorsiening na die duodenum in osse op Behandeling C ook hoër. Die afleiding is gemaak dat aminosuurvoorsiening na die duodenum in osse op Behandeling C ook hoër. Die afleiding is gemaak dat aminosuurvoorsiening na die duoderm onvoldoende kan wees op diëte waar die NDP-inhoud minder as 35 tot 40% van ruproteïen is (Behandeling C).

**Keywords:** Digestion, feedlot diets, protein degradation, starch, steers.

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

<sup>1</sup> Present address: Nola Feeds, P.O. Box 72, Randfontein, 1760 Republic of South Africa.

The ratio of degraded to undegraded or escape protein may be critical in feedlot diets. This concern originates from observations that the efficiency of microbial synthesis is lower on high concentrate diets than on diets containing more roughage (Van Soest *et al.*, 1982). Figures as low as 13 g microbial N per kg organic matter apparently digested in the rumen have been reported, whereas the average for cattle diets is about 29 g (ARC, 1984). Yet, ARC (1980) calculations for cattle in the live mass range of 200–400 kg fed high-energy diets, indicate very little need for undegraded protein. NRC (1985), on the other hand, indicates a relatively high need for undegraded protein. Meissner *et al.* (1992b) suggest that some 35 to 40% of crude protein should be undegraded protein to ensure optimal growth and efficiency of steers on feedlot diets.

If no supplementary undegraded protein is required, urea or an extensively degraded plant protein source would be adequate. This would save on cost. The question addressed here was whether protein passage to and digestion in the small intestine would be limited by supplementing with urea only. This was compared to supplementing with undegraded protein at a level of 35–40% of crude protein according to the results of Meissner *et al.* (1992b).

### Procedures

#### Animals and design

Twelve steers ( $333 \pm 63.0$  kg) were fistulated in the rumen and duodenum and allocated at random to one of three treatments. The three treatments differed in daily supply of crude

protein (CP) and undegraded protein (UDP):

	CP (g/d)	UDP (g/d)	% Ruminal degradation
Treatment A	730	190	74
Treatment B	640	190	70
Treatment C	730	280	62

Crude protein levels were equal to ARC (1980) estimates of requirement for medium frame steers gaining 1.5 kg/d on diets with a metabolizability of 0.6 and 0.7.

Dry matter (DM) intake was standardized at 80 g/kg  $W^{0.75}$ /d (6.2 kg for a 330 kg steer). The 730 g CP level, expressed as a percentage of DM intake, equals 11.7%; this is the level recommended by NRC (1984) and confirmed by Meissner *et al.* (1992b) for similarly sized steers and dietary energy concentrations.

Crude protein and degradation values were obtained by compiling different supplements, containing respectively 1.44% urea (Treatment A), 0.96% urea (Treatment B) and 0.47% urea plus 5.6% fish-meal (Treatment C). The composition of diets is shown in Table 1.

#### Measurements

Steers were fed four times daily to approach steady state. Measurements commenced after steers had been adapted to their diets for three weeks.

**Table 1** Composition of diets, percentage as fed

	Treatment		
	A	B	C
Cottonseed hulls	11	11	11
Dicalcium phosphate	0.2	0.2	—
Fish-meal	—	—	5.6
Limestone (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	1.3	1.3	1.2
Maize meal	81.06	81.54	76.73
Molasses meal <sup>1</sup>	1	1	1
Premix <sup>2</sup>	4	4	4
Urea	1.44	0.96	0.47
<b>Analyses,<sup>3</sup> % of DM</b>			
Crude protein (CP)	11.7	10.2	11.8
Undegraded protein (UDP)	3.02	2.84	4.51
ME, MJ/kg	11.7	11.7	11.6
Crude fibre	7.74	7.75	7.67
Calcium	0.52	0.52	0.69
Phosphorus	0.24	0.24	0.33
Ca:P ratio	2.2:1	2.2:1	2.1:1

<sup>1</sup> Calorie 3000.

<sup>2</sup> Premix contained vitamins, trace minerals, salt, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, KCl, an ionophore and an antibiotic.

<sup>3</sup> Analyses and UDP values (rate constant of 0.04/h) were calculated from Meissner *et al.* (1992a).

Ruminal volume, digesta content and fluid volume were determined by emptying the rumen (Pienaar *et al.*, 1980). Digesta flow was measured by the double marker technique (Faichney, 1980) with Na-dichromate as particulate marker and Co-EDTA as fluid marker (Coleman *et al.*, 1984). These markers were mixed into the feed after a primer dose had been introduced through the rumen cannula. Duodenal digesta samples were collected over four days (12 samples) at randomly allotted times to simulate one 24-h cycle; samples were pooled for analyses. Faeces were collected *in toto*. Passage and apparent absorption (disappearance) of organic matter (OM), nitrogen (N), non-ammonia nitrogen (NAN) and starch were determined between the mouth and the duodenum and between the duodenum and the faeces where applicable. Additionally, the flow of selected amino acids at the duodenum, ruminal volatile fatty acids (VFA), ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and pH, and pH at the duodenum and of faeces were measured. Ruminal samples, collected at the same allotted times as the duodenal samples, were pooled. Samples for VFA determination were preserved with NaOH and samples for NH<sub>3</sub> determination were preserved with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Ruminal and duodenal pH values were measured in the supernatant fluid after filtration. Faecal pH was measured directly from rectal samples (rectal samples contained about 80% moisture).

#### Chemical analysis

Dry matter contents of feed, ruminal, duodenal and faecal samples were determined by drying to constant mass at 60°C. Ash was determined by incineration at 550°C. Nitrogen content was determined by kjeldahl; ruminal and duodenal NH<sub>3</sub> were determined by auto-analyser (Technicon Auto

Analyser II, Indust. Method 334-74A), and amino acids of duodenal samples by amino acid analyser. Feed, duodenal and faecal samples were analysed for starch by  $\alpha$ -amylase (MacRae & Armstrong, 1968), and ruminal samples were analysed for VFA concentrations by gas chromatography. Organic matter was calculated as the difference between DM and ash and NAN of duodenal samples was calculated as the difference between total N and NH<sub>3</sub>.

#### Statistical analysis

Differences between treatment means were tested by one-way analysis of variance and Tukey's test, employing the methods of the General Linear Model's programme of SAS (1985).

#### Results and Discussion

Reliability of flow measurements was determined in two ways. Digesta passage at the duodenum was calculated both by the double marker technique and by using Co-EDTA as single marker (Faichney, 1980). Average digesta passage was 49.8 kg/d by reconstitution (double marker) procedure and 49.1 kg/d when calculated from single marker flow (mean standard error 9.56 kg; PR  $\geq$  F 0.97). Recovery of Cr in the faeces was estimated by calculating faecal DM output from Cr concentration and comparing these estimates with DM output collected with faecal bags. Average faecal DM collected in faecal bags was 5.32 kg/d vs. 5.31 kg/d estimated from Cr concentration (mean standard error 1.19 kg; PR  $\geq$  F 0.99). The results suggest that the reliability was satisfactory.

Results of measurement of some rumen parameters and passage of constituents through the duodenum are shown in Table 2.

Treatment did not significantly affect ruminal volume, digesta content or ruminal fluid. Also, there was no significant treatment effect on pH of the rumen, duodenum, or faeces. Rumen NH<sub>3</sub> level was lower ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) on Treatment C (low urea, fish-meal) compared to Treatment A (high urea); duodenal NH<sub>3</sub> also tended to be lower (Table 2).

Ruminal NH<sub>3</sub> levels clearly were not limiting. In comparison to other high concentrate feedlot diets, these values are at the top end of the scale (4.2—18.5 mg/100 ml) (Morris *et al.*, 1990; Ceceva *et al.*, 1991; Streeter *et al.*, 1990). Feeding level, however, would have had an effect; Kreikemeier *et al.* (1990) reported ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>N levels of 9.4—13.8 mM when steers were fed at twice maintenance vs. 6.1—8.9 mM when fed three times maintenance. The present feeding level of 80 g DM/kg W<sup>0.75</sup>/d was about 65—70% of observed *ad libitum* and twice maintenance.

Duodenal fluid passage did not differ significantly between treatments, but passage of OM, starch and NAN was greater ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) on Treatment C, compared to either Treatment A or Treatment B (Table 2). Passage of individual amino acids to the duodenum was not significantly affected by treatment, except for lysine where the passage was greater ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) on Treatment C than Treatment A. This can be attributed to lysine from the added fish-meal. Unfortunately the results on methionine were unreliable. Overall, however, the difference in amino acid flow was small, which is not surprising.

The amino acid composition of bacterial protein is relatively constant (Bergen *et al.*, 1968; Harrison *et al.*, 1973). As 60—85% of NAN entering the small intestine is of microbial

**Table 2** Rumen parameters, pH, NH<sub>3</sub> and duodenal passage measurements as affected by protein level and degradation of protein

	Treatment			MSE
	A <sup>1</sup>	B <sup>2</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>	
Steer mass (kg)	336	337	326	33.7
Ruminal volume (l)	38.7	32.0	38.8	4.00
Ruminal digesta (kg)	37.7	32.3	37.6	5.36
Ruminal fluid (l)	33.2	27.7	32.5	5.22
pH: rumen	5.99	6.00	5.87	0.30
duodenum	2.58	2.42	2.62	0.23
faeces	6.03	6.17	6.08	0.12
NH <sub>3</sub> (mg/100 ml)				
Rumen	29.5 <sup>b</sup>	27.3 <sup>ab</sup>	17.8 <sup>a</sup>	5.70
Duodenum	10.4	8.90	8.68	2.07
Duodenal passage				
Fluid (l/h)	2.12	2.11	2.30	0.35
OM (g/h)	113 <sup>ab</sup>	99.7 <sup>a</sup>	124 <sup>b</sup>	9.16
Starch (g/d)	521 <sup>a</sup>	588 <sup>ab</sup>	758 <sup>b</sup>	121
NAN (g/d)	89.6 <sup>ab</sup>	68.5 <sup>a</sup>	98.5 <sup>b</sup>	16.8
Amino acids (mmol/d)				
Alanine	11.9	10.1	11.0	2.03
Histidine	2.23	1.81	2.12	0.34
Isoleucine	4.01	3.56	4.17	0.82
Lysine	3.43 <sup>a</sup>	3.55 <sup>ab</sup>	4.67 <sup>b</sup>	0.74
Treonine	6.02	5.13	5.14	1.12
Valine	5.41	4.82	5.65	1.07

<sup>1</sup> 1.44% urea.<sup>2</sup> 0.96% urea.<sup>3</sup> 0.47% urea, 5.7% fish-meal.<sup>a,b</sup> Values in the same line with different superscripts differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

origin, the composition of the escape fraction would have to be markedly different from that of microbial protein to significantly affect the composition of the total protein. Nevertheless, any protein (such as fish-meal) that supplies limiting amino acids may have a disproportionate effect on N retention (MacRae & Lobley, 1986), because microbial protein may be deficient in certain amino acids such as methionine, lysine, histidine and arginine (Storm & Ørskov, 1984). The typical escape proteins of plant proteins used in feedlot diets, therefore, are unlikely to alter amino acid passage to the duodenum.

Intake and digestion of protein and energy fractions are shown in Table 3.

Intake of CP, as planned, was lower ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) for Treatment B than for Treatments A and C (Table 3). Intake of UDP according to our estimates of degradation (Meissner *et al.*, 1992a) also was as planned, being more ( $P < 0.05$ ) with Treatment C than Treatments A and B. Microbial protein synthesis was calculated from ruminal OM digestion (ARC, 1984) to determine whether these estimated degradations were accurate. Degradation in the rumen based on these calculations from ARC (1984) were 73, 93 and 64% for Treatments A, B and C respectively, compared with predicted values of 74, 72 and 63%. Estimates of UDP for Treatments A and C were within

**Table 3** Intake, VFA concentrations and digestion as affected by protein level and degradation of protein

	Treatment			
	A <sup>1</sup>	B <sup>2</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>	MSE
<b>Intake of:</b>				
OM (kg/d)	5.68	5.70	5.51	0.42
Starch (kg/d)	3.49	3.50	3.22	0.26
CP (g/d)	738 <sup>b</sup>	644 <sup>a</sup>	725 <sup>b</sup>	55.2
UDP (g/d)	194 <sup>a</sup>	181 <sup>a</sup>	271 <sup>b</sup>	16.1
UDP <sup>4</sup> (g/d)	200	46	263	—
VFA (mmol/100 ml)	14.8	14.1	13.0	1.05
C <sub>2</sub> (%)	58.9	58.8	62.8	8.68
C <sub>3</sub> (%)	25.5	24.5	24.5	6.47
C <sub>4</sub> (%)	13.0	14.6	11.0	5.30
<b>Apparent digestion of OM</b>				
Before duod.:				
kg/d	2.97 <sup>ab</sup>	3.30 <sup>b</sup>	2.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.37
% of intake	52.2 <sup>ab</sup>	57.8 <sup>b</sup>	46.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.07
% of OM digested	68.2 <sup>ab</sup>	76.0 <sup>b</sup>	59.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.61
After duod.:				
kg/d	1.36 <sup>ab</sup>	1.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.70 <sup>b</sup>	0.26
% of intake	24.4 <sup>ab</sup>	18.3 <sup>a</sup>	31.1 <sup>b</sup>	5.33
Total (%)	76.5	76.1	77.2	4.34
<b>Starch digestion</b>				
Before duod.:				
kg/d	2.96 <sup>b</sup>	2.91 <sup>b</sup>	2.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.27
% of intake	85.1 <sup>b</sup>	83.2 <sup>b</sup>	76.2 <sup>a</sup>	3.90
% of starch digested	90.3 <sup>b</sup>	88.3 <sup>b</sup>	80.8 <sup>a</sup>	4.02
After duod.:				
kg/d	0.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.38 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.15
% of intake	9.20 <sup>a</sup>	11.0 <sup>ab</sup>	18.0 <sup>b</sup>	4.86
% starch entering duod.	61.4 <sup>a</sup>	64.6 <sup>a</sup>	75.2 <sup>b</sup>	4.91
Total (%)	94.2	94.2	94.3	1.53
<b>Apparent protein digestion</b>				
After duod.:				
g/d	354 <sup>ab</sup>	213 <sup>a</sup>	416 <sup>b</sup>	105
% of intake	48.1 <sup>ab</sup>	33.1 <sup>a</sup>	57.4 <sup>b</sup>	15.8
Total (%)	68.8	65.6	71.4	7.52

<sup>1</sup> 1.44% urea.<sup>2</sup> 0.96% urea.<sup>3</sup> 0.47% urea, 5.7% fish-meal.<sup>4</sup> Calculated from the 'All cattle' relationship: 29.0 g microbial N/kg OM apparently digested in the rumen (ARC, 1984).<sup>a,b</sup> Values in the same line with different superscripts differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

3% of calculated values, but the estimate for Treatment B (low CP, low UDP) did not correspond.

Volatile fatty acid concentrations did not differ significantly between treatments, either in terms of the total or the proportional contribution of the major acids. Neither organic matter nor starch digestion *in toto* was significantly influenced by treatment, but the partial digestion before and after the duodenum differed significantly. The main difference was between Treatment C and the other treatments, with less OM ( $P \leq$

0.025) and starch digested pre-duodenally on Treatment C and more post-duodenally.

Organic matter apparently digested in the rumen as a proportion of total tract OM digested for cattle (ARC, 1984) averages  $0.68 \pm 0.09$ . The value for Treatment A (0.68) corresponds with this mean, but the value for Treatment B (0.76) was higher and that of Treatment C (0.60) was lower, but within one standard deviation of the ARC (1984) average. The higher value for Treatment B suggests that ruminal retention was longer; this is supported by the slower passage of OM through the duodenum (Table 2). This could account for the higher protein degradation for Treatment B as calculated from ARC (1984).

Starch apparently digested in the rumen as a proportion of total tract starch digested was about 90% for Treatments A and B and 80% for Treatment C (Table 3). Karr *et al.* (1966) reported 76%, Ørskov *et al.* (1969) 83—95%, Waldo *et al.* (1971) 74—84%, Galyean *et al.* (1976) 83—89% and Galyean & Owens (1991), quoting unpublished results of F.E. Morris, 89—91% on diets containing about 80% maize. With Treatments A and C, almost all OM digested in the rumen apparently consisted of starch. However, if apparent OM digested in the rumen is corrected for OM produced in that organ (true OM digestibility – about 20% increase in the kg OM digested; Galyean & Owens, 1991), the proportion of starch digested in the truly digested OM in the rumen was 83, 73 and 80% for Treatments A, B and C respectively. These estimates are lower than those calculated from the data of F.E. Morris (Galyean & Owens, 1991) (95—98%). Grain processing and feed intake can influence this value.

Furthermore, a high proportion of the digested OM must have been starch because cottonseed hulls, containing about 60% fibre (Meissner *et al.*, 1992a), would have been digested minimally during an 18-h stay in the rumen (calculated mean retention time). On Treatment C, a large portion of fish-meal would have passed from the rumen unfermented, as predicted extent of degradation at a passage rate of 0.04/h would be 41%. Why more undigested starch passed to the duodenum on this treatment than on Treatments A and B is open for speculation. Ruminal pH did not differ significantly between treatments (Table 2). Ruminal  $\text{NH}_3$  on Treatment C was lower, but ammonia should not be considered marginal because  $\text{NH}_3$  levels on feedlot diets usually are much lower. This calls for further investigation.

Post-duodenal starch digestion as a percentage of starch entering the duodenum was higher ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) for Treatment C than for Treatments A and B (Table 3). Overall, the values obtained correspond with the average of  $71.7 \pm 17.3\%$  reported by Owens *et al.* (1986).

The higher post-duodenal starch digestion with Treatment C (Table 3) might contribute to higher rates and efficiency of gain. Leng (1982) and Owens *et al.* (1984) calculated that digestion of readily available carbohydrate in the small intestine should be 10 to 40% more efficient than digestion in the rumen. Owens *et al.* (1986) reported a slight advantage for post-ruminal digestion, but most studies on feedlot diets indicated that the best feed efficiencies occur with extensive starch digestion in the rumen. This is because total tract starch digestion is correlated with ruminal starch digestion. Other reasons given are inadequate pancreatic amylase production

(Karr *et al.*, 1966), suboptimal pH for amylase activity (Wheeler & Noller, 1976), inadequate maltase activity (Mayes & Ørskov, 1974), inadequate glucose absorption (Ørskov *et al.*, 1971) and increased rate of passage (Sutton, 1971).

Digestion of protein beyond the duodenum was higher for Treatment C than for Treatment B ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), with Treatment A in between (Table 3). On Treatment B, ruminally degraded protein, in comparison to Treatments A and C (Table 3), should have been sufficient. Therefore, UDP intake on Treatment B was apparently too low to optimize post-duodenal amino acid supply; in contrast, with the higher UDP intake on Treatment C, almost double the amount of protein was apparently digested in the small intestine.

## Conclusions

Meissner *et al.* (1992b) found that growth and feed efficiency of feedlot steers was best if dietary CP contained 35—40% UDP in feedlot diets containing about 11.7% CP. Treatment C in the present experiment similarly contained about 38% UDP in the CP. A major advantage of Treatment C compared to Treatments A and B that contained less UDP, was that more protein was apparently digested and more amino acids were absorbed. Treatment C contained fish-meal which increased lysine flow at the duodenum. This would not be expected on normal feedlot diets containing supplemental plant protein sources. Therefore, the advantage of diets with 35—40% UDP probably lies in the quantity rather than the quality of amino acids supplied.

An unexpected but potentially advantageous effect on growth performance of steers, was the higher amount of starch digested post-ruminally on Treatment C. This effect may be limited to the specific dietary composition used and may not apply with other protein supplements. Yet, this effect deserves to be further investigated.

## References

- ARC, 1980. The nutrient requirements of ruminant livestock. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal, UK.
- ARC, 1984. The nutrient requirements of ruminant livestock, Suppl. 1. Report of the Protein Group of the ARC Working Party. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal, UK.
- BERGEN, W.G., PURSER, D.B. & KLINE, J.H., 1968. Determination of limiting amino acids of rumen isolated microbial proteins fed to rats. *J. Dairy Sci.* 51, 1698.
- CECEVA, M.J., MERCHEN, N.R., BERGER, L.L., MACKIE, R.I. & FAHEY, G.C. jun., 1991. Effects of dietary energy level and protein source on nutrient digestion and ruminal nitrogen metabolism in steers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 69, 2230.
- COLEMAN, S.W., EVANS, B.C. & HORN, G.W., 1984. Some factors influencing estimates of digesta turnover rate using markers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 58, 979.
- FAICHNEY, G.J., 1980. Measurement in sheep of the quantity and composition of rumen digesta and of the fractional outflow rates and digesta constituents. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 31, 1129.
- GALYEAN, M.L. & OWENS, F.N., 1991. Effects of diet composition and level of feed intake on site and extent of digestion in ruminants. In: Physiological aspects of digestion and metabolism in ruminants. Eds. Tsuda, T., Sasaki, Y. & Kawashima, R., Academic Press, Inc., San Diego. p. 484.
- GALYEAN, M.L., WAGNER, D.G. & JOHNSON, R.R., 1976. Site and extent of starch digestion in steers fed processed corn rations. *J. Anim. Sci.* 43, 1088.

- HARRISON, D.G., BEEVER, D.E., THOMPSON, D.J. & OSBOURNE, D.F., 1973. The influence of diet upon the quantity and types of amino acids entering and leaving the small intestine of sheep. *J. Agric. Sci., Camb.* 81, 391.
- KARR, M.R., LITTLE, C.O. & MITCHELL, G.E. jun., 1966. Starch disappearance from different segments of the digestive tract of steers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 25, 652.
- KREIKEMBIER, K.K., HARMON, D.L., BRANDT, R.T. jun., NAGARAJA, T.G. & COCHRAN, R.C., 1990. Steam-rolled wheat diets for finishing cattle: Effects of dietary roughage and feed intake on finishing steer performance and ruminal metabolism. *J. Anim. Sci.* 68, 2130.
- LENG, R.A., 1982. Modification of rumen fermentation. In: Nutritional limits to animal production from pastures. Ed. Hacker, J.B., Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux. p. 427.
- MACRAE, J.C. & ARMSTRONG, D.G., 1968. Enzyme method for determination of alpha-linked glucose polymers in biological materials. *J. Sci. Fd. Agric.* 17, 578.
- MACRAE, J.C. & LOBLEY, G.E., 1986. Interactions between energy and protein. In: Control of digestion and metabolism in ruminants. Eds. Milligan, L.P., Grovum, W.L. & Dobson, A., Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, USA. p. 367.
- MAYES, R.W. & ØRSKOV, E.R., 1974. The utilization of gelled maize starch in the small intestine of sheep. *Br. J. Nutr.* 32, 143.
- MEISSNER, H.H., DU PLESSIS, P.C. & DU PREEZ, H.P.F., 1992a. Rate and extent of ruminal degradation of crude protein from selected feedstuffs used in cattle feedlot diets as measured by the *in sacco* technique. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 22, 122.
- MEISSNER, H.H., DU PREEZ, H.P.F. & DU PLESSIS, P.C., 1992b. Effect of level and degradation of dietary protein on performance of feedlot steers. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 22, 128.
- MORRIS, F.E., BRANINE, M.E., GALYEAN, M.L., HUBBERT, M.E., FREEMAN, A.S. & LOFGREEN, G.P., 1990. Effect of rotating monensin plus tylosin and lasalocid on performance, ruminal fermentation, and site and extent of digestion in feedlot cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 68, 3069.
- NRC, 1984. Nutrient requirements of beef cattle (6th rev. edn.). National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- NRC, 1985. Ruminant nitrogen usage. US National Academy of Science, Washington, DC.
- ØRSKOV, E.R., FRASER, C. & KAY, R.N.B., 1969. Dietary factors influencing the digestion of starch in the rumen and small and large intestine of early weaned lambs. *Br. J. Nutr.* 23, 217.
- ØRSKOV, E.R., MAYES, R.W. & PENN, A., 1971. The capacity for the removal of glucose from the small intestine by mature sheep. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 30, 43A.
- OWENS, F.N., WEAKLEY, D.C. & GOETSCH, A.L., 1984. Modification of rumen fermentation and digestion in the rumen. In: Herbivore nutrition in the subtropics and tropics. Eds. Gilchrist, F.M.C. & Mackie, R.I., The Science Press (Pty.) Ltd., Craighall, South Africa. p. 435.
- OWENS, F.N., ZINN, R.A. & KIM, Y.K., 1986. Limits to starch digestion in the ruminant small intestine. *J. Anim. Sci.* 63, 1634.
- PIENAAR, J.P., ROUX, C.Z., MORGAN, P.J.K. & GRATAROLA, L., 1980. Predicting voluntary intake on medium quality roughages. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 10, 215.
- SAS, 1985. SAS User's Guide. Institute Inc. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- STORM, E. & ØRSKOV, E.R., 1984. The nutritive value of rumen micro-organisms in ruminants. 4. The limiting amino acids of microbial protein in growing sheep determined by a new approach. *Br. J. Nutr.* 52, 613.
- STREETER, M.N., WAGNER, D.G., HIBBERD, C.A. & OWENS, F.N., 1990. Comparison of corn with four sorghum grain hybrids: Site and extent of digestion in steers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 68, 3429.
- SUTTON, J.D., 1971. Carbohydrate digestion and glucose supply in the gut of the ruminant. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 30, 243.
- VAN SOEST, P.J., SNIFFEN, C.J., MERTENS, D.R., FOX, D.G., ROBINSON, P.H. & KRISHNAMOORTHY, U., 1982. A net protein system for cattle: The rumen submodel for nitrogen. In: Protein requirements for cattle. Ed. Owens, F.N., Proc. Internat. Symp., Oklahoma State Univ. p. 265.
- WALDO, D.R., KEYS, J.F. jun. & GORDON, C.H., 1971. Corn starch digestion in the bovine. *J. Anim. Sci.* 33, 305 (Abstr.).
- WHEELER, W.E. & NOLLER, C.H., 1976. Limestone buffers in complete mixed rations for dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 59, 1788.